

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FEB 22 2006

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ROBERT GEVORGYAN,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 04-76526

Agency No. A75-719-443

**MEMORANDUM\*** 

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 13, 2006\*\*

Before: FERNANDEZ, RYMER, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Robert Gevorgyan, a native and citizen of Armenia, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' decision summarily affirming an immigration

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

judge's ("IJ") order denying his motion to reopen removal proceedings conducted in absentia. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, *Singh v. INS*, 213 F.3d 1050, 1052 (9th Cir. 2000), and we deny the petition for review.

The IJ did not abuse her discretion by denying Gevorgyan's motion to reopen where the accompanying medical documents did not indicate whether his lumbar disc problem was serious, and therefore he did not demonstrate that his failure to appear at his hearing was due to "exceptional circumstances." *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229a(e)(1); *Celis-Castellano v. Ashcroft*, 298 F.3d 888, 891-92 (9th Cir. 2002).

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED